# Chapter 5
# Seepage Control

*Section I*
*Foundation Underseepage*

## 5-1. General

Without control, underseepage in pervious foundations beneath levees may result in (a) excessive hydrostatic pressures beneath an impervious top stratum on the landside, (b) sand boils, and (c) piping beneath the levee itself. Underseepage problems are most acute where a pervious substratum underlies a levee and extends both landward and riverward of the levee and where a relatively thin top stratum exists on the landside of the levee. Principal seepage control measures for foundation underseepage are (a) cutoff trenches, (b) riverside impervious blankets, (c) landside seepage berms, (d) pervious toe trenches, and (e) pressure relief wells. These methods will be discussed generally in the following paragraphs. Detailed design guidance is given in Appendixes B and C. Turnbull and Mansur (1959) have proposed control measures for underseepage also. Additional information on seepage control in earth foundations including cutoffs, impervious blankets, seepage berms, relief wells and trench drains is given in EM 1110-2-1901 and EM 1110-2-1914.

## 5-2. Cutoffs

A cutoff beneath a levee to block seepage through pervious foundation strata is the most positive means of eliminating seepage problems. Positive cutoffs may consist of excavated trenches backfilled with compacted earth or slurry trenches usually located near the riverside toe. Since a cutoff must penetrate approximately 95 percent or more of the thickness of pervious strata to be effective, it is not economically feasible to construct cutoffs where pervious strata are of considerable thickness. For this reason cutoffs will rarely be economical where they must penetrate more than 12.2 m (40 ft). Steel sheet piling is not entirely watertight due to leakage at the interlocks but can significantly reduce the possibility of piping of sand strata in the foundation. Open trench excavations can be readily made above the water table, but if they must be made below the water table, well point systems will be required. Cutoffs made by the slurry trench method (reference Appendix A) can be made without a dewatering system, and the cost of this type of cutoff should be favorable in many cases in comparison with costs of compacted earth cutoffs.

## 5-3. Riverside Blankets

Levees are frequently situated on foundations having natural covers of relatively fine-grained impervious to semipervious soils overlying pervious sands and gravels. These surface strata constitute impervious or semipervious blankets when considered in connection with seepage control. If these blankets are continuous and extend riverward for a considerable distance, they can effectively reduce seepage flow and seepage pressures landside of the levee. Where underseepage is a problem, riverside borrow operations should be limited in depth to prevent breaching the impervious blanket. If there are limited areas where the blanket becomes thin or pinches out entirely, the blanket can be made effective by placing impervious materials in these areas. The effectiveness of the blanket depends on its thickness, length, distance to the levee riverside toe, and permeability and can be evaluated by flow-net or approximate mathematical solutions, as shown in Appendix B. Protection of the riverside blanket against erosion is important.

## 5-4. Landside Seepage Berms

*a. General.* If uplift pressures in pervious deposits underlying an impervious top stratum landward of a levee become greater than the effective weight of the top stratum, heaving and rupturing of the top stratum may occur, resulting in sand boils. The construction of landside berms (where space is available) can eliminate this hazard by providing (a) the additional weight needed to counteract these upward seepage forces and (b) the additional length required to reduce uplift pressures at the toe of the berm to tolerable values. Seepage berms may reinforce an existing impervious or semipervious top stratum, or, if none exists, be placed directly on pervious deposits. A berm also affords some protection against sloughing of the landside levee slope. Berms are relatively simple to construct and require very little maintenance. They frequently improve and reclaim land as areas requiring underseepage treatment are often low and wet. Berms can also serve as a source of borrow for emergency repairs to the levee. Because they require additional fill material and space, they are used primarily with agricultural levees. Subsurface profiles must be carefully studied in selecting berm widths. For example, where a levee is founded on a thin top stratum and thicker clay deposits lie a short distance landward, as shown in Figure 5-1, the berm should extend far enough landward to lap the thick clay deposit, regardless of the computed required length. Otherwise, a concentration of seepage and high exit gradients may occur between the berm toe and the landward edge of the thick clay deposit.




**Figure 5-1. Example of incorrect and correct berm length according to existing foundation conditions**

*b. Types of seepage berms.* Four types of seepage berms have been used, with selection based on available fill materials, space available landside of the levee proper, and relative costs.

(1) Impervious berms. A berm constructed of impervious soils restricts the pressure relief that would otherwise occur from seepage flow through the top stratum, and consequently increases uplift pressures

beneath the top stratum. However, the berm can be constructed to the thickness necessary to provide an adequate factor of safety against uplift.

(2) Semipervious berms. Semipervious material used in constructing this type of berm should have an in-place permeability equal to or greater than that of the top stratum. In this type of berm, some seepage will pass through the berm and emerge on its surface. However, since the presence of this berm creates additional resistance to flow, subsurface pressures at the levee toe will be increased.

(3) Sand berms. While a sand berm will offer less resistance to flow than a semipervious berm, it may also cause an increase in substratum pressures at the levee toe if it does not have the capacity to conduct seepage flow landward without excessive internal head losses. Material used in a sand berm should be as pervious as possible, with a minimum permeability of $100 \times 10^{-4}$ cm per sec. Sand berms require less material and occupy less space than impervious or semipervious berms providing the same degree of protection.

(4) Free-draining berms. A free-draining berm is one composed of random fill overlying horizontal sand and gravel drainage layers (with a terminal perforated collector pipe system), designed by the same methods used for drainage layers in dams. Although the free-draining berm can afford protection against underseepage pressures with less length and thickness than the other types of seepage berms, its cost is generally much greater than the other types, and thus it is rarely specified.

*c. Berm design*. Design equations, criteria, and examples are presented in Appendix C for seepage berms.

*d. Computer programs to use for seepage analysis.*

(1) If the soil can be idealized with a top blanket of uniform thickness and seepage flow is assumed to be horizontal in the foundation and vertical in the blanket, then LEVSEEP (Brizendine, Taylor, and Gabr 1995) or LEVEEMSU (Wolff 1989; Gabr, Taylor, Brizendine, and Wolff 1995) could be used.

(2) If the soil profile is characterized by a top blanket and two foundation layers of uniform thickness, and seepage flow is assumed to be horizontal in the foundation, horizontal and vertical in the transition layer, and vertical in the blanket, then LEVEEMSU or the finite element method (CSEEP) could be used (Biedenharn and Tracy 1987; Knowles 1992; Tracy 1994; Gabr, Brizendine, and Taylor 1995). LEVEESMU would be simpler to use.

(3) If the idealized soil profile includes irregular geometry (slopes greater than 1 vertical to 100 horizontal), more than three layers and/or anisotropic permeability ($k_v \neq k_h$), then only the finite element method (CSEEP) is applicable. When using CSEEP it is recommended that FastSEEP, a graphical pre- and post-processor, be used for mesh generation, assigning boundary conditions and soil properties, and viewing the results (Engineering Computer Graphics Laboratory 1996).

## 5-5. Pervious Toe Trench

*a. General*. Where a levee is situated on deposits of pervious material overlain by little or no impervious material, a partially penetrating toe trench, as shown in Figure 5-2, can improve seepage conditions at or near the levee toe. Where the pervious stratum is thick, a drainage trench of any practicable depth would attract only a small portion of the seepage flow and detrimental underseepage would bypass the trench. Consequently, the main use of a pervious toe trench is to control shallow underseepage and protect the area in the vicinity of the levee toe. Pervious toe trenches may be used in conjunction with relief well systems;

the wells collect the deeper seepage and the trench collects the shallow seepage. Such a system is shown in Figure 5-3. The trench is frequently provided with a perforated pipe to collect the seepage. The use of a collector system is dependent on the volume of seepage and, to some degree, the general location of the levee. Collector systems are usually not required for agricultural levees but find wider use in connection with urban levees.

*b. Location.* As seen in Figures 5-2 and 5-3, pervious drainage trenches are generally located at the levee toe, but are sometimes constructed beneath the downstream levee slope as shown in Figure 5-4. Here the trench is located at the landward quarter point of the levee, and discharge is provided through a horizontal pervious drainage layer. Unless it is deep enough, it may allow excessive seepage pressures to act at the toe. There is some advantage to a location under the levee if the trench serves also as an inspection trench and because the horizontal pervious drainage layer can help to control embankment seepage.

*c. Geometry.* Trench geometry will depend on the volume of expected underseepage, desired reduction in uplift pressure, construction practicalities, and the stability of the material in which it is being excavated. Trench widths varying from 0.61 to 1.83 m (2 to 6 ft) have been used. Trench excavation can be expedited if a ditching machine can be used. However, narrow trench widths will require special compaction equipment. One such piece of equipment (Figure 5-5), which is a vibrating-plate type of compactor specially made to fit on the boom of a backhoe, has apparently performed satisfactorily.




**Figure 5-2. Typical partially penetrating pervious toe trench**

*d. Backfill.* The sand backfill for trenches must be designed as a filter material in accordance with criteria given in Appendix D. If a collector pipe is used, the pipe should be surrounded by about a 305-mm (1-ft) thickness of gravel having a gradation designed to provide a stable transition between the sand backfill and the perforations or slots in the pipe. A typical section of a pervious drainage trench with collector pipe is shown in Figure 5-6. Placement of trench backfill must be done in such a manner as to minimize segregation. Compaction of the backfill should be limited to prevent breakdown of material or over compaction resulting in lowered permeabilities.




**Figure 5-3. Typical pervious toe trench with collector pipe (Figure 5-6 shows trench details)**




**Figure 5-4. Pervious toe trench located beneath landward slope**

## 5-6. Pressure Relief Wells

*a. General.* Pressure relief wells may be installed along the landside toe of levees to reduce uplift pressure which may otherwise cause sand boils and piping of foundation materials. Wells accomplish this by intercepting and providing controlled outlets for seepage that would otherwise emerge uncontrolled landward of the levee. Pressure relief well systems are used where pervious strata underlying a levee are

Figure 5-5. Special equipment for compacting sand in pervious toe trenches

too deep or too thick to be penetrated by cutoffs or toe drains or where space for landside berms is limited. Relief wells should adequately penetrate pervious strata and be spaced sufficiently close to intercept enough seepage to reduce to safe values the hydrostatic pressures acting beyond and between the wells. The wells must offer little resistance to the discharge of water while at the same time prevent loss of any soil. They must also be capable of resisting corrosion and bacterial clogging. Relief well systems can be easily expanded if the initial installation does not provide the control needed. Also, the discharge of existing wells can be increased by pumping if the need arises. A relief well system requires a minimum of additional real estate as compared with the other seepage control measures such as berms. However, wells require periodic maintenance and frequently suffer loss in efficiency with time, probably due to clogging of well screens by muddy surface waters, bacteria growth, or carbonate incrustation. They increase seepage discharge, and means for collecting and disposing of their discharge must be provided.

*b. Design of well systems.* The design of a pressure relief well system involves determination of well spacing, size, and penetration to reduce uplift between wells to allowable values. Factors to be considered are (a) depth, stratification, and permeability of foundation soils, (b) distance to the effective source of seepage, (c) characteristics of the landside top stratum, if any, and (d) degree of pressure relief desired. Guidance on the method used to determine well spacing, size, and penetration is contained in EM 1110-2-1914 and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station TM No. 3-424. Where no control measures are present, relief wells for agricultural and urban levees should be designed so that $i_{max}$ midway between the wells or landward from the well line should not exceed 0.50 (equivalent to FS = 1.7 for an average soil saturated unit weight of 1840 kg/m$^3$ (115 pcf)). Many combinations of well spacing and penetration will produce the desired pressure relief; hence, the final selected spacing and penetration must be based on cost comparisons of alternative combinations. After the general well spacing for a given reach of levee has been determined, the actual location of each well should be established to ensure that the wells will be located at critical seepage points and will fit natural topographic features.




**Figure 5-6. Pervious toe trench with collector pipe**

*c. Design of individual wells.* The design of the well involves the selection of type and length of riser pipe and screen, design of the gravel pack, and design of well appurtenances. A widely used well design that has given good service in the past is shown in Figure 5-7.

(1) Riser pipe and screen. The well screen normally extends from just below the top of the pervious stratum to the bottom of the well, with solid riser pipe installed from the top of the pervious strata to the surface. In zones of very fine sand or silt, the screen is replaced by unperforated (blank) pipe. The type of material for the riser and screen should be selected only after a careful study of the corrosive properties of the water to be carried by the well. Many types of metals, alloys, fiberglass, plastics, and wood have been used in the past. At the present time, stainless steel and plastic are the most widely used, primarily because of their corrosion-resistant properties. Plastic risers should be considered with caution, being susceptible to damages during mechanical treatment or chemical treatment which develop excessive heat or cold.

(2) Filter. The filter that surrounds the screen must be designed in accordance with criteria given in Appendix D using the slot size of the screen and the gradation of surrounding pervious deposit as a basis of design. No matter what size screen is used, a minimum of 152.4 mm (6 in.) of filter material should surround the screen and the filter should extend a minimum of 610.8 mm (2 ft) above the top and 1.2 m (4 ft) below the bottom of the well screen. Above the filter to the bottom of the concrete or impervious backfill, sand backfill may be used.

(3) Well appurtenances. In selecting well appurtenances, consideration must be given to ease of maintenance, protection against contamination from back flooding, damage by debris, and vandalism. To prevent wells from becoming backflooded with muddy surface water, which greatly impairs their efficiency when they are not flowing, an aluminum check valve, rubber gasket, and plastic standpipe, as shown in Figure 5-7, can be installed on each well. To safeguard against vandalism, accidental damage, and the entrance of debris, the tops of the wells should be provided with a metal screen or flap-type gate. The elevation of the top of any protective standpipes must be used in design as the well discharge elevation.

*d. Well installation.* Proper methods of drilling, backfilling, and developing a relief well must be employed or the well will be of little or no use. These procedures are described in detail in EM 1110-2-1914.




**Figure 5-7. Typical relief well**

*Section II*
*Seepage Through Embankments*

## 5-7. General

Should through seepage in an embankment emerge on the landside slope (Figure 5-8a), it can soften fine-grained fill in the vicinity of the landside toe, cause sloughing of the slope, or even lead to piping (internal erosion) of fine sand or silt materials. Seepage exiting on the landside slope would also result in high seepage forces, decreasing the stability of the slope. In many cases, high water stages do not act against the levee long enough for this to happen, but the possibility of a combination of high water and a period of heavy precipitation may bring this about. If landside stability berms or berms to control underseepage are required because of foundation conditions, they may be all that is necessary to prevent seepage emergence on the




a. Homogeneous section on impervious foundation seepage emerging on landside slope

b. Section with pervious toe

c. Pervious toe combined with partially penetrating toe trencn

**Figure 5-8. Embankment with through seepage**

slope. On the other hand, if no berms are needed, landside slopes are steep, and floodstage durations and other pertinent considerations indicate a potential problem of seepage emergence on the slope, provisions should be incorporated in the levee section such as horizontal and/or inclined drainage layers or toe drains to prevent seepage from emerging on the landside slope. These require select pervious granular material and graded filter layers to ensure continued functioning, and therefore add an appreciable cost to the levee construction, unless suitable materials are available in the borrow areas with only minimal processing required. Where large quantities of pervious materials are available in the borrow areas, it may be more practicable to design a zoned embankment with a large landside pervious zone. This would provide an efficient means of through seepage control and good utilization of available materials. Additional information on seepage control in earth embankments including zoning embankments and vertical (or inclined) and horizontal drains is given in Chapter 8 of EM 1110-2-1901.

## 5-8. Pervious Toe Drain

A pervious toe (Figure 5-8b) will provide a ready exit for seepage through the embankment and can lower the phreatic surface sufficiently so that no seepage will emerge on the landside slope. A pervious toe can also be combined with partially penetrating toe trenches, which have previously been discussed, as a method for controlling shallow underseepage. Such a configuration is shown in Figure 5-8c.

## 5-9. Horizontal Drainage Layers

Horizontal drainage layers, as shown in Figure 5-9a, essentially serve the same purpose as a pervious toe but are advantageous in that they can extend further under the embankment requiring a relatively small amount of additional material. They can also serve to protect the base of the embankment against high uplift pressures where shallow foundation underseepage is occurring. Sometimes horizontal drainage layers serve also to carry off seepage from shallow foundation drainage trenches some distance under the embankment as shown previously in Figure 5-4.

## 5-10. Inclined Drainage Layers

An inclined drainage layer as shown in Figure 5-9b is one of the more positive means of controlling internal seepage and is used extensively in earth dams. It is rarely used in levee construction because of the added cost, but might be justified for short levee reaches in important locations where landside slopes must be steep and other control measures are not considered adequate and the levee will have high water against it for prolonged periods. The effect of an inclined drainage layer is to completely intercept embankment seepage regardless of the degree of stratification in the embankment or the material type riverward or landward of the drain. As a matter of fact, the use of this type of drain allows the landside portion of a levee to be built of any material of adequate strength regardless of permeability. When used between an impervious core and outer pervious shell (Figure 5-9c), it also serves as a filter to prevent migration of impervious fines into the outer shell. If the difference in gradation between the impervious and pervious material is great, the drain may have to be designed as a graded filter (Appendix D). Inclined drains must be tied into horizontal drainage layers to provide an exit for the collected seepage as shown in Figures 5-9b and 5-9c.

## 5-11. Design of Drainage Layers

The design of pervious toe drains and horizontal and inclined drainage layers must ensure that such drains have adequate thickness and permeability to transmit seepage without any appreciable head loss while at the same time preventing migration of finer soil particles. The design of drainage layers must satisfy the criteria outlined in Appendix D for filter design. Horizontal drainage layers should have a minimum thickness of 457.2 mm (18 in.) for construction purposes.

## 5-12. Compaction of Drainage Layers

Placement and compaction of drainage layers must ensure that adequate density is attained, but should not allow segregation and contamination to occur. Vibratory rollers are probably the best type of equipment for compaction of cohesionless material although crawler tractors and rubber-tired rollers have also been used successfully. Saturation or flooding of the material as the roller passes over it will aid in the compaction process and in some cases has been the only way specified densities could be attained. Care must always be taken to not overcompact to prevent breakdown of materials or lowering of expected permeabilities. Loading, dumping, and spreading operations should be observed to ensure that segregation does not occur. Gradation tests should be run both before and after compaction to ensure that the material meets specifications and does not contain too many fines.




a. Horizontal drainage layer

b. Inclined drainage layer-homogeneous embankment

c. Inclined drainage layer-zoned embankment

**Figure 5-9. Use of horizontal and inclined drainage layers to control seepage through an embankment**